

OBJEKT ORIENTERAD PROGRAMMERING

Period 32, 2003

IT3

Conclusion: Almost everybody thought it was too much work on the assignment, but we also thought that it was a fun and challenging task. It was communications problems with the teachers, their english was hard to understand.

Most important to improve with the course: Either only a project and no exam or a much smaller project and no exam. More focus on java would be good, it was hard to learn all the programming by yourself. Have lectures on topics before we shall implement them in the project not the other way around.

Antal svarande teknologer: ca 27

Antal teknologer registrerade på kursen:

1. Have the course been meaningful?

Small				High
1	2	3	4	5
1	1	6	13	5

Answers: 26

Mean value: 3.7

2. Have the course been interessant?

Not at all				Yes
1	2	3	4	5
2	4	7	10	2

Answers 25

Mean value: 2.9

3. Did you have enough pre-requisites?

No				Yes
1	2	3	4	5
2	3	7	15	2

Answers: 29

Mean value: 3.4

4. The difficulty of the course?

Very low				Very high
1	2	3	4	5
0	0	5	11	6

Answers: 23

Mean value: 4.3

5. How do you estimate how much work you have performed?

Small				Large
1	2	3	4	5
0	1	2	6	15

Answers: 24

Mean value: 4.4

2003-12-28

6. Comments about the lecturer?

Name: Timour Katchaounov

Comments: Bad examples and answers to questions. It would have been good if he had put up reading instructions before the lecturs. He was a bit monotone when he held the lectures.

Would have been good if he knew something about the lab and if colud make comparisons between the lab and lecture.

Good slides says some bad say others.

He could speak louder.

He knows his field of knowledge.

7. Relationship between lecturs and lessons?

Not good				Very good
1	2	3	4	5
2	4	10	2	0

Antal svar: 18

Medelvärde: 2.6

Kommentarer: Sholud be more time between two parts of the assignement so you could get feedback. Lessons related to the assignment but the lectures didn't.

8. Comments about the lesson leaders?

Name: Jesper Wilhelmsson

Comments: Helpful and gives good explenasons to our questions.

Good

Name: Ruslan Fomkin

Comments: Difficult to understand his english.

Hard "attitude", this is for both assistants.

Motivated to do a good job.

2003-12-28

9. How was the course literature?

bad				god
1	2	3	4	5
0	3	7	2	2

Program Development in Java. B. Liskov

Antal svar: 14

Medelvärde: 3.0

Kommentarer: Good. OK

10. How was the labs?

bad				good
1	2	3	4	5
4	7	4	9	1

Antal svar: 25

Medelvärde: 3.1

Kommentarer: Hard to exactly know what to do. Good but way to much work. Impossible to meet the deadlines. Too much work. Hard to get started. It has taken to much time from other courses.

11. How was the instructions to the labs?

bad				good
1	2	3	4	5
4	7	6	4	0

Antal svar: 21

Medelvärde: 2.5

Kommentarer: Not very good. They have forced us to ask the lab instructors for the instructions. More concrete examples had been good.

It was bad that the lecturs was after deadlines.

12. How can the course get better?

Skip the exam, only a project or a much smaller project and an exam. More scheduled time for the project. More java specific content, we have not read anything about it before this course.

13. Other comments:

Give out more code to the project.

Have a really good lecturer, it's an important and interesting course.

Teacher comments

- 1. Allmänna synpunkter på schemaläggning och administration kring kursen:**
- 2. Vad anser du om studenternas förkunskaper? Vad anser du om studenternas respons på undervisningen?**
- 3. Hur anser du att kommunikationen mellan föreläsare, lektionsledare och laborationshandledare har fungerat?**
- 4. Vad anser du kan förbättras med kursen?**
- 5. Övriga kommentarer:**